to end, and as such he was at a loss to know why a sufficiently full report should not be published, as in the case of the Madras and other Provincial Legislative Councils. He added that supplementary questions and answers were published in the proceedings of the previous meetings of the Assembly. He requested that the same practice be continued.

MR. B. NARASINGA RAO brought to the notice of the House that in the other Councils the publication of a full and prompt report was rendered possible because they employed a sufficient number of reporters and each reporter took notes only for fifteen minutes at a time and transcribed them immediately.

MR. S. N. M. RAZVI said that the proceedings of a session were generally placed in the hands of the members during the subsequent meeting, and there was no time for the members to point out any omissions or errors in the report. He suggested that the copies should be got printed early and placed in the hands of the members before the meeting at the district headquarters with a view to enable them to move for additions or corrections at the subsequent session of the Assembly.

Another member suggested that the supplementary questions and answers relating to the June Session might be got printed and supplied to members as a supplement to the proceedings of that session.

THE DEWAN replied that this was not possible, but that in future, supplementary questions and answers would be included as far as possible.

MR. M. C. LINGE GOWDA and others pointed out that in several cases the names of all the members that had proposed certain questions or representations had not been included in the printed papers relating to the present session, that this was not the first time such a thing happened, and that such omission on the part of the officers concerned should be taken suitable notice of.

THE DEWAN said that the matter would be looked into.

Questions and Answers.

BY MR. M. C. LINGE GOWDA (Mandya Taluk).—

Q.—11. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) What is the number of *Dharmadarsis* appointed for the following temples:—

(a) Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud,

(b) *Sri Ramadevar* temple at Chunchankatte, and

(c) *Ranganathaswamy* temple at Hemagiri?

(2) To what communities do they belong?

(3) What is the income of each of these temples?

(4) And from what sources?

(5) What is the expenditure on the principal items in each?

(6) What are the villages in which lands are endowed to each of these temples? Is any register maintained embodying information regarding the details of income from these endowed lands either in the offices of these temples or in the respective taluk offices?

(7) Are all these lands in the management of either the temple or Muzrai Department?

(8) What are the details of lands in the management of the temple authorities and lands in possession of others and income from them?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.—*A.*—11.

(1)	(2)	(3)
Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud	*Sri Ramadevaru* temple at Chunchankatte, Yedatore Taluk	*Sri Ranganathaswamy* temple at Hemagiri, Krishnarajapet Taluk
(1) Nine	Nine	There is no temple of Sri Ranganathaswamy at Hemagiri.
(2) Brahmins, Vaisyas and Aradhya	Brahmins, Vokkaliga, Veerashaiva and Maharatta.	
(3) About Rs. 28,000	About Rs, 7,000	
(4) (*a*) Cash grant, (*b*) *Sevartha* fees, (*c*) Income from lands, (*d*) Income from *Golaka*, (*e*) *Mudi* fees, (*f*) Fees levied on cocoanuts, (*g*) Rents and (*h*) Other miscellaneous items.	Cash grant from lands, Tolls, *Golaka*, Sale of manure, Interest from securities, Fees from *Sevarthas*.	
Rs.		
(5) Establishment .. 9,324	Rs. 4,494	
Nityakatle .. 11,640	(Details called for)	
Hetchukatle .. 3,420		
Miscellaneous, comprising Municipal tax, *kandayam* and reserve for repairs, etc. 3,816		

Karnataka State Archive
(Departmental Library)
4643

(1)	(2)	(3)
Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud	*Sri Ramadevaru* temple at Chunchankatte, Yedatore Taluk	*Sri Ranganathaswamy* temple at Hemagiri, Krishnarajapet Taluk
(6) (Nanjangud Taluk) Heggadahalli, Kalhalli, Mullur, Nanjangud Kasaba, Sarapur, Biligali, Toramavu, Immavu, Bokkahalli, Kongalli, Hullahalli, Basavanapura. (Yedatore Taluk) Kapanihanta, Hebbal Vrithi. (Krishnarajapete Taluk) Jainhalli, Singanahalli. The above lands include *puduvat* and *sevaitha* lands endowed by private devotees. The prescribed registers are maintained in the taluk offices and in the temple.	(Yedatore Taluk) Kaggalli Bore Kaval. The prescribed registers are maintained in the taluk office.	
(7) The lands are in the management of the Muzrai Department.	The lands are in the management of the Muzrai Department.	
(8) (*a*) *Vide* answer to No. 7 *supra*	*Vide* answer to No. 7 *supra*.	
(*b*) *Badagi* inam lands of Mullur are in the possession of the carpenters who render service in the temple.	No such lands	

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. V. Bandaiya.—

Is it not possible to see that other communities also are represented on the Committee of Dharmadarsis?

Financial Secretary to Government.—

That question does not arise.

Mr. Bandaiya.—

Are not Government aware that some of the lands referred to under item (7) are in the possession of private individuals or companies and not under the management of the Muzrai Department?

Financial Secretary to Government.—

Government are not aware.

Mr. Bandaiya.—

What are the details of the income of the temple under each of the heads given in the fourth part of the answer?

Second Member of Council.—

That requires notice.

Mr. Subbanna.—

Would it not be possible to nominate a Vokkaliga member to the Committee of Dharmadarsis?

econd Member of Council.—

Will be considered at the time of the next nominations.

BY MR. M. C. LINGE GOWDA (Mandya Taluk).—

Q.—12. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) How many years ago was Venkatasubbaiya, Shanbhogue of Doddarasinkere Village, Malvalli Taluk, appointed as such?

(2) How many times was he suspended and dismissed since then and for what reasons?

(3) Has he given all the village accounts to the taluk records since the date of his appointment?

(4) Is it not a loss to the litigant public if when they apply for the copies of *khates* and *khirdies* for production before civil and criminal courts, they are endorsed that the accounts are not available?

(5) Is it true that he absconded during the *jamabandy* of 1926-27 with the resurvey and other accounts and that a warrant was issued for his arrest?

(6) What is the *jamabandy* of this village? What are the amounts that remained unrecovered at the end of each year since he was appointed Shanbhogue?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—12. (1) Venkatasubbaiya was appointed Gumasta Shanbhogue of Doddarasinkere Village, Malavalli Taluk, in the year 1908.